Darabi ME, Mireskandari S-M, Salamati P. Res J Biol Sci. 2008;3(11):1252-6.

## Comparison of disposable and metallic reusable Miller blades for tracheal intubation in children

Regarding anesthesiologist satisfaction and duration of larygoscopy, laryngoscopy with a disposable blade was considered equal to that with a reusable blade in pediatric patients undergoing elective surgery.

Use of a disposable versus a reusable laryngoscope blade can prevent crosscontamination between patients.

#### Objective

• To compare the use of disposable and reusable laryngoscope blades in pediatric patients

#### Methods

- This was a prospective, randomized trial that included children (aged 3–12 years) undergoing elective surgery that required laryngoscopy to facilitate tracheal intubation
  - Patients were American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status 1 and 2 and had apparently normal airways
- Patients were randomized to undergo laryngoscopy using a plastic disposable or a metallic reusable blade
  - The size of the blade was chosen based on the preference of the anesthesiologist and on the condition of the patient
- Outcomes of interest included
  - Duration of laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation
  - View of the glottis
  - Brightness of the laryngoscope field
  - Anesthesiologist satisfaction
  - Successful intubation

#### Results

- Overall, 76 patients were randomized to undergo laryngoscopy with a disposable blade and 76 patients were randomized to undergo laryngoscopy with a reusable blade
  - The demographic and anesthetic characteristics of patients in the two groups were comparable

- The mean age for the patients in the Disposable blade group was 61.5 (±26.8) months and the mean age for patients in the Metallic blade group was 65.4 (±32.6) months. The mean body weight was 19.1 (±8.9) and 18.4 (±7.8) for the patients in the disposable blade and metallic blade groups, respectively.
- Successful intubation was achieved in all patients
- There was a significant between-group difference in the proportion of patients with a glottic view of I or II
  - A glottic view of I (most of the glottis) was observed in 50% of patients in the disposable blade group and in 66% of patients in the reusable blade group
  - A glottic view of II (only the posterior part of the glottis) was observed in 49% of patients in the disposable blade group and in 32% of patients in the reusable blade group. There were no significant between-group difference in the duration of laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation (Figure 1)



 $^{\scriptscriptstyle \dagger}$  Time from inserting the laryngoscope into the oral cavity until passage of the tracheal tube via the vocal cords

# Figure 1. Duration of laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation<sup>†</sup> with a disposable and a reusable laryngoscope blade

- A significantly (p<0.01) brighter field was achieved with the reusable blade than with the disposable blade
- There was no significant between-group difference in selfreported anesthesiologist satisfaction (p=0.1) (Figure 2)





### Conclusions

• Laryngoscopy with the Topster Miller single-use, disposable blade was considered equal to that with a reusable blade in pediatric patients undergoing elective surgery requiring tracheal intubation, and that every new disposable laryngoscope blade should be compared with metallic reusable blades before routine clinical use

Teleflex did not sponsor, pay for, or independently verify the results of the work summarized here and therefore is not responsible for the methodology utilized or the results obtained. Teleflex has made all efforts to summarize the work accurately but cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the american interview interview interview interview interview interview. Teleflex has not ensure the the accuracy or completeness of the american interview interview.